CTER



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,679	04/13/2001		Tetsuo Takeshima	36856.457	5620
	590	07/17/2002			
Keating & Be	nnett LL	P	EXAMINER		
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Pl			MEDLEY, PETER M		
Fairfax, VA 22030				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0 •	09/834,679	TAKESHIMA, TETSUO					
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter M Medley	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22</u>	April 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
 Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

Application/Control Number: 09/834,679

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ormerod et al.

With respect to claims 1, 4, and 5, the reference discloses in the **fig. 3** a rectangular laminated ceramic bender with resin barrier.

The reference does not disclose that polarization directions.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been well known in the art that bender use laminates that are polarized in the same direction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use polarization in the same directions for the purpose of creating a bending device.

With respect to claim 2, the reference does not disclose a stiffened coating.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of stiffened coating would have been well known for the purpose of providing increase durability.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a stiffened coating for the purpose of increasing the durability of the device.

With respect claims 6-8, the reference discloses the same electrode structure in **fig. 2**.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,679

Art Unit: 2834

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 15 April 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the 112 rejections, the rejections have been withdrawn, but it should be noted that the Applicant has not defined "front" and "back" in terms of claims limitations. The Examiner has examined the claims with "front" meaning top and "back" meaning bottom.

With respect to the resin of the 103 rejection, it is the Examiner position that the broadest reasonable definition of resin includes parylene. Even if the definition does not include parylene, it is the Examiner's position that parylene is equivalent to resin because the way, function, and result of parylene and resins are the same.

With respect to the Official Notice, the Examiner provides Toki (5,856,956). Applicant is pointed to the last paragraph of column 4.

With respect to alternating current, it is the Examiner position that the limitation relied upon by Applicant is functional and adds not additional structural limitations. Courts have found that claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525,1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). (emphasis in original).

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter M Medley whose telephone number is 703-305-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PM July 15, 2002 NESTOR RAMIREZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800